

<b>Solicitation No.:</b>	<b>RFQ #17-024KB</b>								
<b>Description:</b>	<p><b>Professional Asbestos Abatement Consulting Firms for the 2014 Bond Program:</b></p> <p><b><u>Recommendation</u></b></p> <p>The Administration is seeking Board approval of the Professional Asbestos Abatement Consulting Firms for the 2014 Bond Projects, and authorization for the Superintendent to negotiate and execute the contracts within the project budgeted funds of \$715,390.</p> <p><b><u>Summary</u></b></p> <p>FBISD issued RFQ #17-024KB on September 28, 2016 and received responses from 4 Professional Asbestos Abatement Consulting Firms.</p> <p>The following matrix identifies the rankings for the Consultants for Bond 2014 projects as necessary for abatement:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="477 940 1438 1203"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="477 940 902 978"><b>Professional Service</b></th> <th data-bbox="902 940 1438 978"><b>Firm</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 978 902 1052">Asbestos Abatement Consultant</td> <td data-bbox="902 978 1438 1052">Terracon Consultants, Inc.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 1052 902 1125">Asbestos Abatement Consultant</td> <td data-bbox="902 1052 1438 1125">Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 1125 902 1203">Asbestos Abatement Consultant</td> <td data-bbox="902 1125 1438 1203">Farmer Environmental Group, LLC</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>An evaluation team consisting of FBISD staff members evaluated and ranked the professional consultants' qualifications, and completed this task on November 08, 2016.</p> <p>Additionally, the FBISD Director of Financial Services reviewed each company's financial submission and scored them on a pass/fail basis. The fourth consultant, Honesty Environmental Services, Inc. did not submit financials and was disqualified. Likewise, the Director of Purchasing checked vendor references. The evaluation criteria and vendor rankings are attached to this agenda item.</p> <p>When the Board approved professional services firms at the May 18, 2015 Board Meeting, Asbestos Abatement Consultants were not presented at that time.</p> <p>The committee is confident that the top ranked firms are qualified to perform the work.</p>	<b>Professional Service</b>	<b>Firm</b>	Asbestos Abatement Consultant	Terracon Consultants, Inc.	Asbestos Abatement Consultant	Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI)	Asbestos Abatement Consultant	Farmer Environmental Group, LLC
<b>Professional Service</b>	<b>Firm</b>								
Asbestos Abatement Consultant	Terracon Consultants, Inc.								
Asbestos Abatement Consultant	Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI)								
Asbestos Abatement Consultant	Farmer Environmental Group, LLC								

	<p>The bid package value for the Asbestos Abatement Consultants Projects is \$715,390.</p> <p>The approved Bond 2014 budget included a budgeted amount of \$715,390 for Asbestos Abatement Consultant Services. Proposals for these services will be requested at renovation campuses once the project is further developed and the condition is exposed.</p>
<b>Requested By:</b>	Steven Bassett, Chief Financial Officer Oscar Perez, Chief Operations Officer
<b>Vendor:</b>	Various
<b>Budget Sources:</b>	2014 Bond Funds
<b>Amount:</b>	\$715,390
<b>Other Supporting Information</b>	
<b>Sole Source:</b>	No
<b>Number of vendors contacted by Purchasing:</b>	0
<b>Number of vendors contacted by FBISD Notification System:</b>	1823
<b>Number of vendors downloaded the solicitation:</b>	181
<b>Number of responses received:</b>	4 (1 disqualified)
<b>Number of "no bid" responses received:</b>	0
<b>Length of commitment:</b>	TBD
<b>Last solicitation date:</b>	N/A
<b>Supporting documents:</b>	N/A
<b>Disclosure under Board Policy CH, CV, or DBD (Local):</b>	none

Professional Service Firm Name	Criterion 1 Presentation of SOQ/Response to RFQ (0-5 points)	Criterion 2 Past Relevant Performance of Professional Services (0-25 points)	Criterion 3 Firm's Proposed Personnel/Team Certifications, and Qualifications (0-25 points)	Criterion 4 Project Documentation and Construction Administration (0-25 points)	Criterion 5 Financial/Management Strength (0-15 points)	Criterion 6 References (0-5 points)	Total Score (100 points max)	Ranking
Terracon Consultants, Inc.	5	23.25	23	21.25	11.5	1	85	1
Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI)	4.5	17	22	20.25	6	1	70.75	2
Farmer Environmental Group, LLC	4.63	12.5	19.25	18.75	7.5	5	67.63	3
*Honesty Environmental Services, Inc.	5	21.75	21.5	21	0	1	70.25	disqualified

\* Honesty Environmental Services, Inc. did not submit Financials

**RFQ 17-024KB, Asbestos Abatement**

<b>Fort Bend ISD RFQ Professional Services - Criteria and Evaluation Weights</b>				
	<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Scoring Description Phase I</b>	<b>Points Assignment</b>
1	Presentation of SOQ /Response to RFQ.	5	Adequacy of response to the RFQ as relates to meeting the needs of the Fort Bend Independent School District 2014 Bond Program	Evaluation Committee
2	Past Relevant Performance of Professional Services	25	Review of past positive performance for Professional Services for other school districts or government entities.	Evaluation Committee
3	Firm's proposed personnel/team-certifications, and qualifications	25	Relevant qualifications and experience of the firm and personnel for the performance of Professional Services on educational facility capital improvement programs. Review of resumes, credentials and experience qualifications that support accomplishment of the project to FBISD standards	Evaluation Committee
4	Project Documentation and Construction Administration	25	Review of representative construction documents for completeness and quality control, methods of coordination/communication between municipalities and utility districts for preconstruction discoveries and well as program points for construction administration.	Evaluation Committee
5	Financial / Management Strength	15	Review of current and past 5 years of financial information. Information to include size and scope of all current contracted work, listing current Clients being served.	Accounting Department
6	References	5	Adequacy of response and information provided by relevant references. List of References should come from past project examples list within this RFQ and should include at least 3 individuals outside of FBISD.	Purchasing Department